

# A Primer on Capacity Mechanisms

**Natalia Fabra**

Universidad Carlos III de Madrid and CEPR

FUNCAS, April 2018

# Introduction

## **Electricity markets at a cross-road:**

- ▶ Deployment of renewables needed for the low-carbon transition
- ▶ Renewables depress market prices
- ▶ Reduce profitability and increase risk of conventional technologies
- ▶ Weak-demand response + non-storability: back-up?

# Introduction

## **Electricity markets at a cross-road:**

- ▶ Deployment of renewables needed for the low-carbon transition
- ▶ Renewables depress market prices
- ▶ Reduce profitability and increase risk of conventional technologies
- ▶ Weak-demand response + non-storability: back-up?

## **Renewables are not the problem...**

- ▶ Energy-only markets pay a uniform price to all MWhs
- ▶ Unpriced externalities:
  - ▶ environmental externalities, reliability, flexibility, etc.
- ▶ Because of no free entry, market power rents

# Are the current arrangements well suited to induce adequate investments?

February 2010, **Ofgem**:

*"There is a need for unprecedented levels of investment to be sustained over many years in difficult financial conditions and against a background of increased risk and uncertainty...Ofgem does not consider that leaving the current arrangements unaltered is in the interests of consumers."*

# Today's focus

Energy-only market fails in providing adequate investment

**Need, effect and design** of capacity mechanisms

# Today's focus

Energy-only market fails in providing adequate investment

**Need, effect and design** of capacity mechanisms

## Issues:

- ▶ How do capacity payments affect energy markets?
- ▶ What is the optimal capacity target?
- ▶ And the optimal policy to achieve it?
- ▶ Should all plants receive capacity payments, or only the new ones?
- ▶ What if there is market power in the capacity market?
- ▶ Auctioning capacity payments while mitigating mkt power?

# Investment Incentives and Market Power

Fundamental interaction: investment and market power

- ▶ market power determines rents for investors...
- ▶ ....but also affects marginal incentives

# Investment Incentives and Market Power

Fundamental interaction: investment and market power

- ▶ market power determines rents for investors...
- ▶ ....but also affects marginal incentives

Understanding the trade-off is key for....

- ▶ diagnosing market failures
- ▶ designing regulatory and market-based instruments



# Investment Incentives and Market Power

Fundamental interaction: investment and market power

- ▶ market power determines rents for investors...
- ▶ ....but also affects marginal incentives

Understanding the trade-off is key for....

- ▶ diagnosing market failures
- ▶ designing regulatory and market-based instruments

**Scarcity pricing** leaves free-way to **market power**

**Capacity payments** needed to **restore missing money**  
**without introducing market power**

# Roadmap

- ▶ Model description
- ▶ Benchmarks:
  - ▶ welfare maximizing capacity
  - ▶ the energy-only market paradigm
- ▶ Equilibrium investment
- ▶ Capacity mechanisms
- ▶ Further issues:
  - ▶ new vs old capacity
  - ▶ market power in the capacity market
  - ▶ reliability options
- ▶ Conclusions

# Model Ingredients

- ▶  $n$  firms compete to generate electricity
- ▶ Zero production costs up to the firm's capacity,  $k_i$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, n$
- ▶ Unit cost of capacity,  $c > 0$
- ▶ Demand  $\theta$  is uniformly distributed on  $[0, 1]$
- ▶ Demand is price- inelastic; prices capped at  $P$
- ▶ Consumers receive gross utility  $v \geq P$  per unit consumed

# First-Best Capacity

- ▶ Expected welfare as a function of aggregate capacity  $K$ ,

$$W = v \left( \int_0^K \theta d\theta + \int_K^1 K d\theta \right) - cK$$

# First-Best Capacity

- ▶ Expected welfare as a function of aggregate capacity  $K$ ,

$$W = v \left( \int_0^K \theta d\theta + \int_K^1 K d\theta \right) - cK$$

- ▶ Trade-off: value of extra consumption ( $v$ ) versus investment cost ( $c$ )

$$K^{FB} = \frac{v - c}{v} < 1$$

# First-Best Capacity if Blackouts are Possible

- ▶ Probability of a system blackouts:  $\gamma$

$$W = v \left( \int_0^K \theta d\theta + (1 - \gamma) \int_K^1 K d\theta \right) - cK$$

# First-Best Capacity if Blackouts are Possible

- ▶ Probability of a system blackouts:  $\gamma$

$$W = v \left( \int_0^K \theta d\theta + (1 - \gamma) \int_K^1 K d\theta \right) - cK$$

- ▶ Optimal capacity goes up:

$$K^{FB} > \frac{v - c}{v}$$

- ▶ Full insurance against blackouts ( $K^{FB} = 1$ ) if  $\gamma$  very high

# Energy-only Market Paradigm

1. free entry  $\Rightarrow$  zero profits
2. no market power  $\Rightarrow$  prices=MC if excess capacity



# Energy-only Market Paradigm

1. free entry  $\Rightarrow$  zero profits
  2. no market power  $\Rightarrow$  prices=MC if excess capacity
- ▶ Profits = scarcity rents minus investment costs = 0

$$\pi_i = P \int_{nk}^1 kd\theta - ck = 0$$

# Energy-only Market Paradigm

1. free entry  $\Rightarrow$  zero profits
  2. no market power  $\Rightarrow$  prices=MC if excess capacity
- ▶ Profits = scarcity rents minus investment costs = 0

$$\pi_i = P \int_{nk}^1 kd\theta - ck = 0$$

$$K^* = \frac{P - c}{P} \leq K^{FB}$$

# Energy-only Market Paradigm

1. free entry  $\Rightarrow$  zero profits
  2. no market power  $\Rightarrow$  prices=MC if excess capacity
- ▶ Profits = scarcity rents minus investment costs = 0

$$\pi_i = P \int_{nk}^1 kd\theta - ck = 0$$

$$K^* = \frac{P - c}{P} \leq K^{FB}$$

# Energy-only Market Paradigm

## **Claims of the energy-only paradigm:**

- ▶ Price caps create under-investment
- ▶ Removing price caps  $\Rightarrow$  efficient investment; consumers better off

# Energy-only Market Paradigm

## Claims of the energy-only paradigm:

- ▶ Price caps create under-investment
- ▶ Removing price caps  $\Rightarrow$  efficient investment; consumers better off

## However...

- ▶ Sub-optimal investment if blackouts are possible
- ▶ Because of market power and no free entry:
  - ▶ Efficiency cannot be achieved by removing price-caps
  - ▶ Removing price caps gives rise to market power
  - ▶ Market power reduces consumer surplus and distorts efficiency

# Investment Model under Market Power

## **Dominant firm-fringe model:**

- ▶ all firms but one bid at marginal cost (fringe)
- ▶ firm 1 maximizes profits over residual demand

# Investment Model under Market Power

## Dominant firm-fringe model:

- ▶ all firms but one bid at marginal cost (fringe)
- ▶ firm 1 maximizes profits over residual demand
- ▶ Energy market profits for firm 1:

$$\pi_1 = P \int_{k_F}^{k_F+k_1} [\theta - k_F] d\theta + P \int_{k_F+k_1}^1 k_1 d\theta - ck_1$$

# Equilibrium outcomes

- ▶ **Dominant firm:** only benefits from capacity expansions when  $\theta > K$

$$K^* = \frac{P - c}{P} \leq K^{FB}$$



# Equilibrium outcomes

- ▶ **Dominant firm:** only benefits from capacity expansions when  $\theta > K$

$$K^* = \frac{P - c}{P} \leq K^{FB}$$

- ▶ Welfare max. is price-caps removed  $P^* = v$  [\* with *caveats*]

# Equilibrium outcomes

- ▶ **Dominant firm:** only benefits from capacity expansions when  $\theta > K$

$$K^* = \frac{P - c}{P} \leq K^{FB}$$

- ▶ Welfare max. is price-caps removed  $P^* = v$  [\* with *caveats*]
- ▶ Profits = market power rents (scarcity rents = investment costs)

# Equilibrium outcomes

- ▶ **Dominant firm:** only benefits from capacity expansions when  $\theta > K$

$$K^* = \frac{P - c}{P} \leq K^{FB}$$

- ▶ Welfare max. is price-caps removed  $P^* = v$  [\* with *caveats*]
- ▶ Profits = market power rents (scarcity rents = investment costs)
- ▶ Consumer surplus max. with binding price caps,  $P^* < v$ 
  - ▶ trade-off greater consumption vs higher prices

# Capacity Mechanisms

- ▶ Capacity payments: pay  $sk$  regardless of firms' production
  - ▶ **price regulation:** regulator sets  $s$  and investors choose  $K$
  - ▶ **quantity regulation:** regulator chooses  $K$ , and market sets  $s$

# Capacity Mechanisms

- ▶ Capacity payments: pay  $sk$  regardless of firms' production
  - ▶ **price regulation:** regulator sets  $s$  and investors choose  $K$
  - ▶ **quantity regulation:** regulator chooses  $K$ , and market sets  $s$
- ▶ To induce desired investments in capacity,

$$K^* = \frac{P - (c - s)}{P} \Rightarrow s = c - (1 - K^*)P$$

- ▶ To induce  $K^*$ , pay firms investment costs net of scarcity rents

# Capacity Mechanisms

- ▶ Capacity payments: pay  $sk$  regardless of firms' production
  - ▶ **price regulation:** regulator sets  $s$  and investors choose  $K$
  - ▶ **quantity regulation:** regulator chooses  $K$ , and market sets  $s$
- ▶ To induce desired investments in capacity,

$$K^* = \frac{P - (c - s)}{P} \Rightarrow s = c - (1 - K^*)P$$

- ▶ To induce  $K^*$ , pay firms investment costs net of scarcity rents
- ▶  $s = 0$  when  $P = v$  (i.e., no price cap)
- ▶  $s = c$  when  $P = 0$  (prices capped at MC)

# The optimal policy: price-caps and capacity payments

## Optimal capacity payment for a given price-cap

- ▶ For given  $P$ , consumer surplus is maximized at some  $s^*$  :
  - ▶ The lower  $P$ , the higher  $s^*$

# The optimal policy: price-caps and capacity payments

## Optimal capacity payment for a given price-cap

- ▶ For given  $P$ , consumer surplus is maximized at some  $s^*$  :
  - ▶ The lower  $P$ , the higher  $s^*$
  - ▶ If no price caps ( $P = v$ ), charge a *capacity fee*  $s^* < 0$
  - ▶ the energy-only market paradigm is sub-optimal ( $P = v$ ;  $s = 0$ )



# The optimal policy: price-caps and capacity payments

## Optimal capacity payment for a given price-cap

- ▶ For given  $P$ , consumer surplus is maximized at some  $s^*$  :
  - ▶ The lower  $P$ , the higher  $s^*$
  - ▶ If no price caps ( $P = v$ ), charge a *capacity fee*  $s^* < 0$
  - ▶ the energy-only market paradigm is sub-optimal ( $P = v$ ;  $s = 0$ )

## Optimal capacity payment and price-cap

- ▶ Optimal policy:  $s^* = c$  and  $P = 0$
- ▶ [Auctions to overcome *asymmetric info*]

**Capacity payments** needed to **restore missing money**  
**without introducing market power**

# Support for all or only for the new capacity?

- ▶ Support to new capacity only
  - ▶ examples: strategic reserves, tenders for new capacity
- ▶ Support to new and old capacity
  - ▶ examples: capacity markets, decentralized obligation schemes

# Support for all or only for the new capacity?

- ▶ Support to new capacity only
  - ▶ examples: strategic reserves, tenders for new capacity
- ▶ Support to new and old capacity
  - ▶ examples: capacity markets, decentralized obligation schemes
- ▶ **Aggregate capacity is the same** under both mechanisms
  - ▶ investment depends on marginal profits, not on profit levels

# Support for all or only for the new capacity?

- ▶ Support to new capacity only
  - ▶ examples: strategic reserves, tenders for new capacity
- ▶ Support to new and old capacity
  - ▶ examples: capacity markets, decentralized obligation schemes
- ▶ **Aggregate capacity is the same** under both mechanisms
  - ▶ investment depends on marginal profits, not on profit levels
- ▶ Consumers better off when only new capacity receives support
  - ▶ Regulator chooses higher  $s \Rightarrow$  capacity closer  $K^{FB}$
  - ▶ Old capacity loses profits but profit loss  $<$  capacity payments

# Capacity markets might be subject to market power

- ▶ Market power in the capacity market  $\Rightarrow$  higher capacity price:

$$s = c - (1 - K) P + \left[ P \frac{n}{n-1} \left( \frac{K}{n} - k_1 \right) \right]$$

## **Impact of market power on regulator's choices:**

- ▶ Optimal capacity is lower
- ▶ Consumers worse off (price increase + capacity reduction)

# Auctioning Reliability Options

- ▶ Option price ( $s$ ) and strike price ( $f$ )
  - ▶ Regulator chooses  $f$  and  $s$  is determined through an auction
- ▶ Consumers pay  $s$  in exchange of option to buy electricity at  $f$

# Auctioning Reliability Options

- ▶ Option price ( $s$ ) and strike price ( $f$ )
  - ▶ Regulator chooses  $f$  and  $s$  is determined through an auction
- ▶ Consumers pay  $s$  in exchange of option to buy electricity at  $f$
- ▶ Firms' profits:

$$\pi = \begin{cases} pq - (c - s)k & \text{if } p \leq f \\ fk - (c - s)k - p(k - q) & \text{if } p \geq f \end{cases}$$

# Auctioning Reliability Options

- ▶ Option price ( $s$ ) and strike price ( $f$ )
  - ▶ Regulator chooses  $f$  and  $s$  is determined through an auction
- ▶ Consumers pay  $s$  in exchange of option to buy electricity at  $f$
- ▶ Firms' profits:

$$\pi = \begin{cases} pq - (c - s)k & \text{if } p \leq f \\ fk - (c - s)k - p(k - q) & \text{if } p \geq f \end{cases}$$

- ▶ Market power is mitigated:
  - ▶  $f$  acts as a plant-specific price-cap
  - ▶ optimal to set  $f = 0$  at marginal cost
- ▶ Availability incentivized:
  - ▶  $-p(k - q)$  acts as endogenous penalty for not being available



# Conclusions

- ▶ Scarcity pricing induces inefficiencies
  - ▶ Removing price caps gives free-way to market power
  - ▶ Plus unpriced externality: reduce blackouts
- ▶ Max. consumer surplus: price caps + capacity payments

# Conclusions

- ▶ Scarcity pricing induces inefficiencies
  - ▶ Removing price caps gives free-way to market power
  - ▶ Plus unpriced externality: reduce blackouts
- ▶ Max. consumer surplus: price caps + capacity payments

## **Further issues:**

- ▶ Capacity payments only to new capacity
- ▶ Capacity markets vulnerable to market power
- ▶ Auctions of new back-up capacity through reliability options
  - ▶ strike prices close to MC to mitigate market power

# ¡Muchas gracias!

preguntas? comentarios?

[natalia.fabra@uc3m.es](mailto:natalia.fabra@uc3m.es)

[eco.uc3m.es/nfabra](http://eco.uc3m.es/nfabra)